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## Spanish Fork City Council

2160 N 175 E
Spanish Fork, UT 84660

Subject: Electric Rate Study

## Council Members:

Dave Berg Consulting, LLC with the assistance of NewGen Strategies and Solutions, has undertaken a study of the retail rates Spanish Fork charges its customers for electric service. This report summarizes the analyses undertaken and the resulting recommendations for changes to the existing rates.

The recommended rate adjustments have been made based on overall revenue and cash reserve needs of the utility and the results of a cost-of-service analysis. As a result of the study undertaken, no overall rate increase has been recommended for the electric utility. However, rate adjustments in certain classes of customer have been recommended to address cost-of-service issues. The adjustments will not affect all customers equally and specific rate design recommendations are included for each retail rate class.

Thank you for the opportunity to be of service to Spanish Fork through the conduct of this study. We wish to express our appreciation for the valuable assistance we received from Spanish Fork staff relative to the execution of this study.

Sincerely,

## Dave Berg Consulting, LLC



David A. Berg, PE

## Principal

## Section 1

## Introduction

Spanish Fork, Utah owns a municipal utility providing service to approximately 11,500 retail electric customers. The electric utility is operated by Spanish Fork Public Works department (Spanish Fork) and is under the direction of the Spanish Fork City Council. This report has been prepared by Dave Berg Consulting, LLC with assistance from NewGen Strategies and Solutions to examine the rates and charges for electric service in Spanish Fork. The study includes an examination of the allocated cost of service based on actual FY 2015 utility operations (Test Year). It also includes projected operating results for FY 2016-2020 (Study Period). As a result of the analyses undertaken and reported on herein, electric rate recommendations have been developed for implementation by Spanish Fork.

## Section 2

## Projected Operating Results Existing Rates

The rates charged for electric service by Spanish Fork, combined with other operating and non-operating revenues, must be sufficient to meet the cost of providing services to Spanish Fork's retail customers. This is necessary in order to ensure the long-term financial health of Spanish Fork. The cost of providing electric service consists of normal operating expenses such as purchased power, distribution functions, customer and administrative functions, system depreciation expenses, capital improvements, contributions to Spanish Fork City and other non-operating expenses.

An analysis of the operating results for Spanish Fork during the FY 2016-2020 Study Period has been performed assuming the current retail rates and charges remain in effect for the electric utility through the Study Period. This analysis has been done to determine the overall need, if any, for additional revenue through rates to meet projected revenue requirements. The analyses and assumptions utilized in these projections are explained below.

## Estimated Revenues - Existing Rates

## Retail Sales

Spanish Fork sells retail power and energy to residential and commercial. Spanish Fork has recently been experiencing moderate growth in total retail sales to its electric customers; total sales growth after 2015 has been assumed to be approximately 2.7\% per year through the Study Period. Exhibit 2-A is a summarized listing of Spanish Fork's historical and projected electric operating results at existing rates. The historical and projected revenues from retail sales of power and energy to different groups of customers are included at the beginning of the exhibit under Operating Revenues.

## Section 2

## Other Operating Revenues

Spanish Fork also receives revenue from other normal operating procedures. These revenues are shown in Exhibit 2-A as Other Operating Revenues. These include construction labor and materials, equipment rentals, meter fees and pole attachment revenue. Spanish Fork also has other miscellaneous revenues.

Utility Revenues combined with Miscellaneous and Other Operating Revenues results in Spanish Fork's Total Operating Revenues.

## Revenue Requirements

## Purchased Power

Spanish Fork currently meets its wholesale power requirements through its membership in the Utah Municipal Power Agency (UMPA).

Spanish Fork's actual retail sales and wholesale requirements for the FY 2015 Test Year are shown in Table 2-1.

## Table 2-1

Retail Sales
And Wholesale Requirements

| Item | 2015 |
| :---: | ---: |
| Metered Retail Sales | $237,136,393 \mathrm{kWh}$ |
| Losses/Unmetered (\% of sales) | $6.8 \%$ |
| Wholesale Energy | $253,375,618 \mathrm{kWh}$ |
| Wholesale Peak | $63,610 \mathrm{~kW}$ |

For 2016-2020, annual wholesale requirements are projected to increase $2.4 \%$ per year.

## Other Operating Expenses

Spanish Fork incurs other operating expenses associated with local electric system operations. Distribution operating and maintenance expenses are related to the substations, overhead and underground lines and customer facilities located in Spanish Fork. Administrative and general expenses are required for utility management, employee benefits, training and other administrative costs and for other professional services. Non-wholesale power related expenses are based on 2015 values, the 2016 budget and are generally estimated to increase by 2.2\% per year after 2016.

## Depreciation

Spanish Fork has annual depreciation costs based on its system investments. Depreciation during the Study Period is based on budgeted Spanish Fork amounts and future capital improvements. Depreciation is a funded non-cash expense that generates monies available for annual capital improvements and reserves.

## Non-operating Revenue (Expenses)

Spanish Fork's non-operating revenue is primarily associated with investment income and impact fees. Non-operating expenses are associated with scheduled interest payments on outstanding debt. The last payment on existing debt has been made in FY 2016.

## City Transfer

Spanish Fork makes an annual operational transfer to the City's general fund and to other City funds. The transfer is $4.5 \%$ of operating revenues. Other transfers are based on 2016 budget and are expected to stay constant through the Study Period.

## Section 2

Capital Improvements

Spanish Fork makes annual normal capital investments in its electric system. Annual electric capital improvements for the Study Period, as budgeted by Spanish Fork, are shown in Table 2-2 below.

Table 2-2
Capital Improvements

| Capital Item | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Capital | $\$ 1,872,154$ | $\$ 1,633,552$ | $\$ 2,225,747$ | $\$ 1,701,039$ | $\$ 1,506,791$ |

Debt Service

Spanish Fork made its last principal and interest payment on existing debt in FY 2016.

## Projected Operating Results - Existing Rates

Based on the assumptions outlined above, the resulting projected operating results assuming continued application of the existing retail rates are summarized in Table 2-3 for the electric utility. A summary presentation of the operating results is shown in Exhibit 2-A.

Table 2-3
Projected Operating Results
Existing Rates

| Year | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Operating Revenues | \$24,077,382 | \$24,501,111 | \$25,434,340 | \$26,439,872 | \$27,391,216 |
| Less Operating Expenses | $(22,211,890)$ | $(22,788,963)$ | $(23,762,540)$ | $(24,795,205)$ | $(25,748,233)$ |
| Plus Non -Operating Revenues | 768,166 | 779,786 | 779,786 | 779,786 | 779,786 |
| Less City Transfers | $(536,398)$ | $(1,789,748)$ | $(1,831,743)$ | $(1,876,992)$ | $(1,919,803)$ |
| Change in Net Position | \$3,962,752 | \$2,414,335 | \$2,291,643 | \$2,192,128 | \$2,145,948 |
| Net Position as Percent of Revenues | 16.5\% | 9.9\% | 9.0\% | 8.3\% | 7.8\% |

## Cash Reserves

A summary of the impact of the projected operating results on Spanish Fork's cash reserves for the Study Period is shown at the end of Exhibit 2-A and in Table 2-4 below.

As shown below, under existing retail rates and estimated revenue requirements over the Study Period, the cash reserves for the electric utility are projected to increase from approximately $\$ 22.4$ million at the end of 2015 to approximately $\$ 31.2$ million by the end of 2020. By the end of the Study Period, the reserves are projected to equal $114 \%$ of operating revenues. The reserves allocated to the electric department serve two purposes. One is to provide cash reserves for Spanish Fork City and all city functions, the other is to have cash available to the electric department for its capital and operating needs. Strong levels of reserves are an important fiscal asset for a city and utility. They provide a financial cushion for unexpected events such as emergency equipment or repairs, sudden cost increases, loss of a major customer and funding of additions without the need to incur additional debt.

## Section 2

| Table 2-4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Projected Cash Reserves |  |  |  |  |  |
| Existing Rates |  |  |  |  |  |
| Year | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 |
| Beginning Balance | \$22,449,291 | \$24,684,440 | \$26,509,227 | \$27,693,317 | \$29,359,302 |
| Plus Change in Net Position | 3,962,752 | 2,414,335 | 2,291,643 | 2,192,128 | 2,145,948 |
| Less Capital Improvements | $(1,872,154)$ | $(1,633,552)$ | $(2,225,747)$ | $(1,701,039)$ | $(1,506,791)$ |
| Less Debt Principal | $(845,000)$ |  |  |  |  |
| Plus Depreciation | 989,552 | 1,044,004 | 1,118,195 | 1,174,896 | 1,225,123 |
| Ending Balance | \$24,684,440 | \$26,509,227 | \$27,693,317 | \$29,359,302 | \$31,223,583 |
| Reserves as \% of Revenue | 103\% | 108\% | 109\% | 111\% | 114\% |


|  | 2014 | 2015 | Escalation Factor | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Operating Revenues |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential | 8,780,510 | 8,828,868 | Manual | 8,994,199 | 9,120,101 | 9,489,503 | 9,888,514 | 10,267,559 |
| Commercial | 11,232,328 | 11,625,442 | Manual | 12,097,361 | 12,329,500 | 12,826,194 | 13,364,104 | 13,866,284 |
| Miscellaneous Revenue | 1,294,801 | 932,952 | General Inflation | 773,750 | 790,773 | 808,169 | 825,949 | 844,120 |
| Other Operating Revenues | 1,852,321 | 1,646,618 | General Inflation | 2,212,072 | 2,260,738 | 2,310,474 | 2,361,304 | 2,413,253 |
| Total Operating Revenues | 23,159,959 | 23,033,880 |  | 24,077,382 | 24,501,111 | 25,434,340 | 26,439,872 | 27,391,216 |
| Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries, Wages, Benefits | 1,775,940 | 1,954,675 | Salaries | 2,112,776 | 2,176,159 | 2,241,444 | 2,308,687 | 2,377,948 |
| Materials and Supplies | 1,008,457 | 1,433,977 | General Inflation | 1,948,568 | 1,991,436 | 2,035,248 | 2,080,024 | 2,125,784 |
| Repairs and Maintenance | 9,721 | 8,613 | General Inflation | 12,700 | 12,979 | 13,265 | 13,557 | 13,855 |
| Professional Services | 926,107 | 906,559 | General Inflation | 915,677 | 935,822 | 956,410 | 977,451 | 998,955 |
| Motorpool Charges | 210,351 | 293,265 | General Inflation | 267,361 | 273,243 | 279,254 | 285,398 | 291,677 |
| Utilities | 31,082 | 33,118 | General Inflation | 35,000 | 35,770 | 36,557 | 37,361 | 38,183 |
| Insurance | 19,898 | 26,889 | General Inflation | 25,195 | 25,749 | 26,316 | 26,895 | 27,486 |
| Depreciation \& Amortization | 861,223 | 927,147 | Manual | 989,552 | 1,044,004 | 1,118,195 | 1,174,896 | 1,225,123 |
| Indirect Services | 479,287 | 509,171 | General Inflation | 584,603 | 597,464 | 610,608 | 624,042 | 637,771 |
| Plant Assessment | 68,142 | 68,142 | General Inflation | 68,142 | 69,641 | 71,173 | 72,739 | 74,339 |
| Sundry Charges | 66,966 | 62,691 | General Inflation | 65,500 | 66,941 | 68,414 | 69,919 | 71,457 |
| Production \& Purchased Power Exp | 14,019,653 | 14,393,114 | Manual | 15,186,816 | 15,559,753 | 16,305,656 | 17,124,236 | 17,865,655 |
| Total Operating Expenses | 19,476,826 | 20,617,360 |  | 22,211,890 | 22,788,963 | 23,762,540 | 24,795,205 | 25,748,233 |
| Operating Income (Loss) | 3,683,133 | 2,416,520 |  | 1,865,492 | 1,712,149 | 1,671,800 | 1,644,667 | 1,642,982 |
| Non-Operating Revenues (Expenses) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest Revenue | 130,528 | 165,816 | None | 192,397 | 192,397 | 192,397 | 192,397 | 192,397 |
| Impact Fees | 404,270 | 435,103 | None | 587,389 | 587,389 | 587,389 | 587,389 | 587,389 |
| Contributions from Private Contractors | 355,635 | 706,113 | None | - | - | - | - | - |
| Pension Benefit Expense | 228,421 | 228,421 | None | - | - | - | - | - |
| Pension Expense | $(144,379)$ | $(144,379)$ | None | - | - | - | - | - |
| Interest Expense | $(50,520)$ | $(28,056)$ | Manual | $(11,620)$ | - | - | - | - |
| Total Non-Operating Revenues (Expenses) | 923,955 | 1,363,018 |  | 768,166 | 779,786 | 779,786 | 779,786 | 779,786 |
| Income (Loss) Before Contributions and Transfer: | 4,607,088 | 3,779,537 |  | 2,633,658 | 2,491,934 | 2,451,586 | 2,424,453 | 2,422,768 |
| Contributions and Transfers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transfer from Reserves/Earnings | - | - | Manual | 1,102,030 | ( | - | - | - |
| Transfer to General Fund | $(956,978)$ | $(664,950)$ | Manual | $(951,230)$ | $(1,102,550)$ | $(1,144,545)$ | $(1,189,794)$ | $(1,232,605)$ |
| Transfer to Other City Funds | $(1,394,398)$ | $(703,273)$ | None | $(687,198)$ | $(687,198)$ | $(687,198)$ | $(687,198)$ | $(687,198)$ |
| Total Contributions and Transfers | $(2,351,376)$ | $(1,368,223)$ |  | $(536,398)$ | $(1,789,748)$ | $(1,831,743)$ | $(1,876,992)$ | $(1,919,803)$ |
| Change in Net Position |  | 4,827,834 |  | 3,962,752 | 2,414,335 | 2,291,643 | 2,192,128 | 2,145,948 |


| Cash Balances |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Beginning of Year Reserves | 22,449,291 | 24,684,440 | 26,509,227 | 27,693,317 | 29,359,302 |
| Change in Net Position | 3,962,752 | 2,414,335 | 2,291,643 | 2,192,128 | 2,145,948 |
| Capital Expenditures | $(1,872,154)$ | $(1,633,552)$ | $(2,225,747)$ | $(1,701,039)$ | $(1,506,791)$ |
| Debt Principal Payments | $(845,000)$ |  |  |  |  |
| Depreciation | 989,552 | 1,044,004 | 1,118,195 | 1,174,896 | 1,225,123 |
| End of Year Reserves | 24,684,440 | 26,509,227 | 27,693,317 | 29,359,302 | 31,223,583 |

## Section 3

## Cost-of-Service

A cost-of-service analysis was performed to determine the allocated cost to serve each of Spanish Fork's customer classes within the electric utility. Customer classes exist, in part, because the cost to serve different kinds of customers varies. The cost-of-service analysis has been performed on a FY 2015 'Test Year' based on actual 2015 financials, operations and sales. The results of the cost-of-service study give an indication of the degree of revenue recovery warranted for each class of customers. A comparison of the allocated cost to serve a class of customers and the actual revenues received from that class is taken into consideration during rate design.

## Functionalization of Costs

Spanish Fork's Test Year electric revenue requirements have been divided into four functional categories. These categories are described below.

Power Supply - the power supply function is related to the cost of Spanish Fork transmission and purchases of wholesale power through UMPA.

Distribution - distribution expenses are related to the Spanish Fork owned system for delivering power and energy to Spanish Fork customers. They include local substation and distribution system costs.

Customer - these costs are fixed costs associated with the service facilities utilized to deliver electric power and energy directly to customers. They also include items such as meter reading, billing, collections and dealing with customers by customer service representatives.

Revenue - revenue related costs include transfers to the City and City related fees, other operating and non-operating income and utility margin.

## Section 3

Table 3-1 below summarizes the functional electric costs for the 2015 Test Year. The detailed cost functions are shown in Exhibit 3-A.

| Table 3-1 |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Functional Electric Costs |  |
| 2015 Test Year |  |
|  | Revenue |
| Component | Requirement |
| Power Supply | $\$ 15,088,279$ |
| Distribution | $1,923,897$ |
| Customer | $1,045,631$ |
| Revenue | $\underline{2,368,446}$ |
| Total | $\$ 20,426,253$ |

## Classification of Costs

Within each function, the revenue requirements have been divided into distinct cost classifications. These cost classifications are described below.

Demand Related - demand related costs are fixed costs that do not vary with hourly consumption. Demand related costs are required to meet the overall demand of the system as expressed in kW.

Energy Related - energy related costs vary based on hourly consumption in kWh

Customer Related - costs related to serving, metering and billing of individual customers.

Revenue Related - revenue related costs vary by the amount of revenue received by the utility.

Exhibits 3-B through 3-D show the detailed classification of revenue requirements within the functions.

## Allocation of Costs

Based on an analysis of customer class service characteristics, the classified costs summarized above were allocated to the major Spanish Fork customer classes. Allocation of costs was performed on a fully-distributed, embedded cost allocation basis. Specific allocation factors were utilized in each of the cost classification categories as described below. Exhibit 3-E contains a summary of the development of the various allocation factors.

## Demand Al/ocations

Customer class demands on a system can be reflected in various ways. Two primary demand allocation types were utilized in this analysis. A common industry allocator known as Coincident Peak Demand (CP) allocator is utilized to allocate demand related costs based on each class' contribution to the system peak demand each month. A 12 CP demand allocator was utilized for power supply related demand costs. A Non-coincident Peak Demand (NCP) reflects a class maximum demand regardless of when it occurs. A 1 NCP method, an estimate of each class' maximum annual demand on the system, was utilized for allocating local system demand related costs.

## Energy Allocations

Each class' share of energy requirements was used to allocate energy related costs. The predominant energy related costs are the energy portions of the purchased power expenses. These costs were allocated based on each class' estimated share of wholesale energy purchases, this is referred to as the Net Energy for Load (NEFL) allocator.

## Section 3

## Customer Al/ocations

Two separate customer allocators were utilized. The customer distribution allocator was used to allocate costs associated with the physical facilities required to serve individual customers. The customer service allocator is for allocation of costs associated with customer service - meter reading, billing, collections and customer inquiries. For both the customer distribution and customer service allocators, a weighted customer allocation factor is developed. Weighting factors are developed to represent the difference in service configurations between customer classifications. For instance, a larger customer facility is required for a single large power customer than for a single residential customer, or a single large power customer requires more customer service than a single residential customer.

## Revenue Allocations

Revenue related costs were allocated based on each class' share of total demand, energy, customer distribution, customer service and direct costs.

## Cost of Service Results

Based on the classifications and allocations described above, the estimated cost to serve each major class of customers for the 2015 Adjusted Test Year was determined. Exhibit 3-F presents this analysis in detail. Table 3-2 below summarizes the total allocated electric costs for each class compared to the total electric revenues received from the class during 2015.

Table 3-2
Electric Cost of Service Results Comparison of Cost and Revenues 2015 Test Year

| Customer <br> Classification | Allocated <br> Cost to Serve | Revenues |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Residential | $\$ 8,947,519$ | $\$ 8,828,868$ |
| General Service | $7,603,847$ | $8,121,880$ |
| Large Power Service | $3,866,767$ | $3,498,313$ |
| Lighting | $\underline{8,121}$ | $\underline{5,249}$ |
| Total | $\$ 20,426,253$ | $\$ 20,454,309$ |

The revenue requirements and revenues as allocated to each class and summarized above are shown on a total dollars basis. Table 3-3 below makes the comparison based on percentages of total cost to serve and total revenues. The percentage increase/(decrease) in each class' revenue shown below is the adjustment necessary to produce revenues from each class in accordance with the allocated cost to serve. The percentage adjustments do not represent the recommended change in each class' rates. The cost-of-service results are one item for consideration in rate design. It is important to note also that the adjustments shown in the table below would not change the total revenue received by the utility and are not indicative of overall revenue needs of the utility going forward. Recommendations regarding rate design are included in Section 4 of this report.

## Section 3

| Table 3-3 <br> Electric Cost of Service Results <br> Comparison of \% Cost and Revenues <br> 2015 Test Year |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Customer <br> Classification | Allocated <br> Cost to Serve | Revenues | Increase/ <br> (Decrease) |
| Residential | $43.8 \%$ | $43.2 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ |
| General Service | $37.2 \%$ | $39.7 \%$ | $-6.2 \%$ |
| Large Power Service | $18.9 \%$ | $17.1 \%$ | $10.7 \%$ |
| Lighting | $\underline{0.04 \%}$ | $\underline{0.03 \%}$ | $\underline{54.9 \%}$ |
| Total | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |

As indicated above, Spanish Fork's existing class revenues do not exactly match the allocated cost to serve each class. Cost based rates are one of several goals in establishing rates. The relationship between allocated costs and revenues for each class should be considered, in addition to other rate related goals, in developing recommended rates. Small classes of customers often do not lend themselves well to an overall COS analysis, the comparison shown above for the small lighting class should not be considered to be entirely indicative of the appropriate rate levels for that class.

## Per Unit Costs

Based on the cost-of-service results shown above, the costs have been summarized on a per unit basis by customer class and class billing data. These per unit costs resemble rates and represent another piece of information for use in rate design. The resulting per unit costs by rate class are shown in Table 3-4

| Table 3-4 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Per Unit Electric Costs |  |  |  |
| 2015 Test Year |  |  |  |
|  |  | Total |  |
| Customer Classification | Dmd (\$/kW) | Energy <br> (\$/kWh) | Cust <br> (\$/mo) |
| Residential | \$6.51 | \$0.03479 | \$10.87 |
| General Service | \$13.40 | \$0.03479 | \$32.60 |
| Large Power Service | \$16.74 | \$0.03427 | \$630.97 |


| Line | Item | 2015 | Adjustments | Test Year | Allocation | Power Supply | Distribution | Customer | Revenue | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | SALARIES AND WAGES (FULL-TIME) | 1,244,048 |  | 1,244,048 | O\&M x/PP | 4,717 | 1,001,337 | 237,993 | - | 1,244,048 |
| 3 | SALARIES AND WAGES (PART-TIME) | 54,994 |  | 54,994 | O\&M x/PP | 209 | 44,265 | 10,521 | - | 54,994 |
| 4 | EMPLOYEES BENEFITS | 649,305 |  | 649,305 | O\&M x/PP | 2,462 | 522,627 | 124,216 | - | 649,305 |
| 5 | OVERTIME WAGES | 6,328 |  | 6,328 | O\&M x/PP | 24 | 5,094 | 1,211 | - | 6,328 |
| 6 | TRAIN\&TRAV-MILEAGE REIMBURSE | 211 |  | 211 | O\&M x/PP | 1 | 170 | 40 | - | 211 |
| 7 | TRAIN\&TRAV-CDL | 210 |  | 210 | Distribution | - | 210 | - | - | 210 |
| 8 | TRAIN\&TRAV-MISC. TRAINING | 2,800 |  | 2,800 | Distribution | - | 2,800 | - | - | 2,800 |
| 9 | TRAIN\&TRAV-HOTLINE SCHOOL IPSA | 5,869 |  | 5,869 | Distribution | - | 5,869 | - | - | 5,869 |
| 10 | TRAIN\&TRAV-IPSA WORKSHOPS | 297 |  | 297 | Distribution | - | 297 | - | - | 297 |
| 11 | TRAIN\&TRAV-LINEMAN TRAINING | 5,620 |  | 5,620 | Distribution | - | 5,620 | - | - | 5,620 |
| 12 | TRAIN\&TRAV-UMPA STRATEGY | 304 |  | 304 | Production | 304 | - | - | - | 304 |
| 13 | TRAIN\&TRAV-IPSA SAFETY MEETING | 1,786 |  | 1,786 | Distribution | - | 1,786 | - | - | 1,786 |
| 14 | APPA NATIONAL CONFERENCE | 2,731 |  | 2,731 | O\&M x/PP | 10 | 2,198 | 522 | - | 2,731 |
| 15 | SCADA TRAINING | 5,000 |  | 5,000 | Distribution | - | 5,000 | - | - | 5,000 |
| 16 | BOOKS\&MEMBERS-CODE BOOKS | 153 |  | 153 | O\&M x/PP | 1 | 123 | 29 | - | 153 |
| 17 | SUPP-METERS \& SUPPLES | 46 |  | 46 | Distribution | - | 46 | - | - | 46 |
| 18 | BOOKS\&MEMBERS-INSTANT OSHA SUB | 1,840 |  | 1,840 | O\&M x/PP | 7 | 1,481 | 352 | - | 1,840 |
| 19 | BOOKS\&MEMBERS-UMPA/APPA | 9,768 |  | 9,768 | Production | 9,768 | - | - | - | 9,768 |
| 20 | BOOKS\&MEMBERS-UMPA/CREDA | 1,502 |  | 1,502 | Production | 1,502 | - | - | - | 1,502 |
| 21 | BOOKS\&MEMBERS- SAM'S/COSTCO | 126 |  | 126 | O\&M x/PP | 0 | 101 | 24 | - | 126 |
| 22 | SUPP-AWARDS/PRIZES | 12 |  | 12 | O\&M x/PP | 0 | 10 | 2 | - | 12 |
| 23 | SUPP-BATTERIES | 308 |  | 308 | O\&M x/PP | 1 | 248 | 59 | - | 308 |
| 24 | SUPP-CLEANING SUPPLIES | 489 |  | 489 | O\&M x/PP | 2 | 394 | 94 | - | 489 |
| 25 | SUPP-FIRST AID | 472 |  | 472 | O\&M x/PP | 2 | 380 | 90 | - | 472 |
| 26 | SUPP-FOOD/MEALS | 1,186 |  | 1,186 | O\&M x/PP | 4 | 955 | 227 | - | 1,186 |
| 27 | SUPP-MISC. SUPPLIES | 4,436 |  | 4,436 | O\&M x/PP | 17 | 3,571 | 849 | - | 4,436 |
| 28 | SUPP-OFFICE SUPPLIES | 3,800 |  | 3,800 | O\&M x/PP | 14 | 3,059 | 727 | - | 3,800 |
| 29 | SUPP-PERSONAL PROTECTIVE EQUIP | 354 |  | 354 | O\&M x/PP | 1 | 285 | 68 | - | 354 |
| 30 | SUPP-UNIFORMS | 15,708 |  | 15,708 | Distribution | - | 15,708 | - | - | 15,708 |
| 31 | SUPP- RADIOS | 500 |  | 500 | Distribution | - | 500 | - | - | 500 |
| 32 | SUPP-DIVISION TOOLS \& SAFETY | 21,422 |  | 21,422 | Distribution | - | 21,422 | - | - | 21,422 |
| 33 | SUPPLIES- SERVICE WORK O\&M | 3,457 |  | 3,457 | Distribution | - | 3,457 | - | - | 3,457 |
| 34 | SUPPLIES- SUBSTATION O\&M | 14,446 |  | 14,446 | Distribution | - | 14,446 | - | - | 14,446 |
| 35 | SUPPLIES- STREET LIGHTING O\&M | 5,686 |  | 5,686 | Distribution | - | 5,686 | - | - | 5,686 |
| 36 | SUPPLIES-METERING | 40,550 |  | 40,550 | Distribution | - | 40,550 | - | - | 40,550 |
| 37 | SUPP- SERVICE \& SUB CREW TOOLS | 2,875 |  | 2,875 | Distribution | - | 2,875 | - | - | 2,875 |
| 38 | SUPPLIES- SUBS. WEED CONT SUPP | 5,002 |  | 5,002 | Distribution | - | 5,002 | - | - | 5,002 |
| 39 | SUPPLIES- TRAFFIC SIGNALS | 127 |  | 127 | Distribution | - | 127 | - | - | 127 |
| 40 | SUP.- CHRISTMAS STRET LIGHTING | 165 |  | 165 | Distribution | - | 165 | - | - | 165 |


| Line | Item | 2015 | Adjustments | Test Year | Allocation | Power Supply | Distribution | Customer | Revenue | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 41 | SUPPLIES- UG DISTRIBUTION O\&M | 21,557 |  | 21,557 | Distribution | - | 21,557 | - | - | 21,557 |
| 42 | SUPPLIES- UG TRANSFORMER O\&M | 2,544 |  | 2,544 | Distribution | - | 2,544 | - | - | 2,544 |
| 43 | SUPPLIES- UG CREW TOOLS | 2,894 |  | 2,894 | Distribution | - | 2,894 | - | - | 2,894 |
| 44 | SUPPLIES- OVERHEAD DIST. O\&M | 20,766 |  | 20,766 | Distribution | - | 20,766 | - | - | 20,766 |
| 45 | SUPP- OVERHEADTRANSMISSION O\&M | 983 |  | 983 | Distribution | - | 983 | - | - | 983 |
| 46 | SUPP- OVERHD TRANSFORMER O\&M | - |  | - | Distribution | - | - | - | - | - |
| 47 | SUPP- OVERHD TOOLS \&SAFETY EQ. | 1,715 |  | 1,715 | Distribution | - | 1,715 | - | - | 1,715 |
| 48 | SUP-OVERHD HIGH VOLT LINE TOOL | 4,241 |  | 4,241 | Distribution | - | 4,241 | - | - | 4,241 |
| 49 | EQ. MAINT-CAR WASHES | 147 |  | 147 | O\&M x/PP | 1 | 118 | 28 | - | 147 |
| 50 | EQ. MAINT-COPY MACHINE | 71 |  | 71 | O\&M x/PP | 0 | 57 | 14 | - | 71 |
| 51 | EQ. MAINT-EQUIPMENT REPAIR | 2,788 |  | 2,788 | O\&M x/PP | 11 | 2,244 | 533 | - | 2,788 |
| 52 | MOTOR POOL | 100,210 |  | 100,210 | O\&M x/PP | 380 | 80,660 | 19,171 | - | 100,210 |
| 53 | GASOLINE AND OIL | 27,676 |  | 27,676 | O\&M x/PP | 105 | 22,276 | 5,295 | - | 27,676 |
| 54 | TELEPHONE | 9,382 |  | 9,382 | O\&M x/PP | 36 | 7,552 | 1,795 | - | 9,382 |
| 55 | UTILITIES | 23,736 |  | 23,736 | O\&M $\mathrm{x} / \mathrm{PP}$ | 90 | 19,105 | 4,541 | - | 23,736 |
| 56 | BLDG\&GROUND-HEAT/VENT/AC | 461 |  | 461 | O\&M x/PP | 2 | 371 | 88 | - | 461 |
| 57 | BLDG\&GROUND-FIRE EXTINGUISHER | 328 |  | 328 | O\&M x/PP | 1 | 264 | 63 | - | 328 |
| 58 | BLDG\&GROUND-MISC BLDG REPAIR | 4,818 |  | 4,818 | O\&M x/PP | 18 | 3,878 | 922 | - | 4,818 |
| 59 | DATA-COMPUTER MAINTENANCE | 8,843 |  | 8,843 | O\&M x/PP | 34 | 7,117 | 1,692 | - | 8,843 |
| 60 | DATA-CASELLE MAINTENANCE | 8,495 |  | 8,495 | O\&M x/PP | 32 | 6,838 | 1,625 | - | 8,495 |
| 61 | DATA-CITIZENLINK | 1,637 |  | 1,637 | O\&M x/PP | 6 | 1,318 | 313 | - | 1,637 |
| 62 | DATA-INVENSYS SYSTEMS MAINT | 2,271 |  | 2,271 | O\&M x/PP | 9 | 1,828 | 434 | - | 2,271 |
| 63 | DATA-ARC INFO SOFTWARE MAINT | 3,298 |  | 3,298 | O\&M x/PP | 13 | 2,654 | 631 | - | 3,298 |
| 64 | DATA-AUTOCADD MAINT | 4,800 |  | 4,800 | Distribution | - | 4,800 | - | - | 4,800 |
| 65 | DATA-POWER FACTOR TESTER | 717 |  | 717 | Distribution | - | 717 | - | - | 717 |
| 66 | DATA-SCADA MAINT. | 18,340 |  | 18,340 | Distribution | - | 18,340 | - | - | 18,340 |
| 67 | DATA- GPS | 12,600 |  | 12,600 | Distribution | - | 12,600 | - | - | 12,600 |
| 68 | ACCOUNTING \& AUDITING | 2,500 |  | 2,500 | O\&M x/PP | 9 | 2,012 | 478 | - | 2,500 |
| 69 | PROF\&TECH-BLUE STAKES | 868 |  | 868 | Distribution | - | 868 | - | - | 868 |
| 70 | PROF\&TECH-CLEANING SERVICES | 476 |  | 476 | O\&M x/PP | 2 | 383 | 91 | - | 476 |
| 71 | PROF\&TECH-SUBDIVISION INSPECT | 33,691 |  | 33,691 | Distribution | - | 33,691 | - | - | 33,691 |
| 72 | PROF\&TECH-TREE TRIMMING | 23,351 |  | 23,351 | Distribution | - | 23,351 | - | - | 23,351 |
| 73 | PROF\&TECH-TESTING\&INSPECTION | 790 |  | 790 | Distribution | - | 790 | - | - | 790 |
| 74 | PROF\&TECH-IMPACT FEE STUDY | 2,789 |  | 2,789 | Distribution | - | 2,789 | - | - | 2,789 |
| 75 | PROF\&TEC-ENGINEER-MISC STUDIES | 10,440 |  | 10,440 | Distribution | - | 10,440 | - | - | 10,440 |
| 76 | PROF\&T-SUBSTATION OIL TESTING | 3,489 |  | 3,489 | Distribution | - | 3,489 | - | - | 3,489 |
| 77 | OTHER SERV-UTILITY BILLING | 12,005 |  | 12,005 | Customer | - | - | 12,005 | - | 12,005 |
| 78 | OTHER SERV-MAILING \& POSTAGE | 1,043 |  | 1,043 | Customer | - | - | 1,043 | - | 1,043 |
| 79 | OTHER SERV-MERCHANT FEES | 67,450 |  | 67,450 | O\&M x/PP | 256 | 54,291 | 12,904 | - | 67,450 |
| 80 | OTHER SERV-SUVPS O\&M COSTS | 179,691 |  | 179,691 | Production | 179,691 | - | - | - | 179,691 |


| Line | Item | 2015 | Adjustments | Test Year | Allocation | Power Supply | Distribution | Customer | Revenue | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 81 | OT SERV- 80\% IF SUVPS PROJECTS | 494,748 |  | 494,748 | Production | 494,748 | - | - | - | 494,748 |
| 82 | POWER PURCHASE | 14,393,114 |  | 14,393,114 | Production | 14,393,114 | - | - | - | 14,393,114 |
| 83 | INSURANCE | 26,889 |  | 26,889 | Distribution | - | 26,889 | - | - | 26,889 |
| 84 | SUNDRY | 18 |  | 18 | O\&M x/PP | 0 | 14 | 3 | - | 18 |
| 85 | DEPRECIATION | 927,147 |  | 927,147 | Distribution | - | 927,147 | - | - | 927,147 |
| 86 | VEHICLE LEASE FROM MOTOR POOL | 193,055 |  | 193,055 | O\&M x/PP | 732 | 155,390 | 36,932 | - | 193,055 |
| 87 | DEV. CONSTRUCTION MATERIAL | 1,204,571 |  | 1,204,571 | Distribution | - | 1,204,571 | - | - | 1,204,571 |
| 88 | BAD DEBTS | 61,690 |  | 61,690 | Customer | - | - | 61,690 | - | 61,690 |
| 89 | LIABILITY CLAIMS-DEDUCTIBLE | 983 |  | 983 | O\&M x/PP | 4 | 791 | 188 | - | 983 |
| 90 | INDIRECT SERVICE | 509,171 |  | 509,171 | Customer | - | - | 509,171 | - | 509,171 |
| 91 | PLANT ASSESSMENT - BROADBAND | 68,142 |  | 68,142 | O\&M x/PP | 258 | 54,848 | 13,036 | - | 68,142 |
| 92 | Total Operating Expenses | 20,617,360 | - | 20,617,360 |  | 15,088,598 | 4,467,053 | 1,061,709 | - | 20,617,360 |
| 93 |  | Check |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 94 Non-Operating Expenses (Revenues) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 95 | INTEREST EARNINGS | $(163,633)$ |  | $(163,633)$ | Revenue | - | - | - | $(163,633)$ | $(163,633)$ |
| 96 | ELEC. IMPACT FEES INTEREST | (29) |  | (29) | Distribution | - | (29) | - | - | (29) |
| 97 | SF ELEC REV 2000 BOND INT | $(2,184)$ |  | $(2,184)$ | Revenue | - | - | - | $(2,184)$ | $(2,184)$ |
| 98 | ELECTRIC CONSTRUCTION LABOR | $(85,058)$ |  | $(85,058)$ | Distribution | - | $(85,058)$ | - | - | $(85,058)$ |
| 99 | ELECTRIC CONSTRUCTION MATERIAL | $(1,091,913)$ |  | $(1,091,913)$ | Distribution | - | (1,091,913) | - | - | $(1,091,913)$ |
| 100 | ELEC. CONST. EQUIP. RENTAL | $(27,615)$ |  | $(27,615)$ | Distribution | - | $(27,615)$ | - | - | $(27,615)$ |
| 101 | ELECTRIC METER FEE | $(38,870)$ |  | $(38,870)$ | Distribution | - | $(38,870)$ | - | - | $(38,870)$ |
| 102 | MISCELLANEOUS REVENUE | $(932,952)$ |  | $(932,952)$ | Revenue | - | - | - | $(932,952)$ | $(932,952)$ |
| 103 | POLE ATTACHMENTS REVENUE | $(90,840)$ |  | $(90,840)$ | Distribution | - | $(90,840)$ | - | - | $(90,840)$ |
| 104 | FORFEITED DISCOUNT | $(292,563)$ |  | $(292,563)$ | Revenue | - | - | - | $(292,563)$ | $(292,563)$ |
| 105 | CONTRIBUTIONS BY DEVELOPERS | $(706,113)$ |  | $(706,113)$ | Distribution | - | $(706,113)$ | - | - | $(706,113)$ |
| 106 | IMPACT FEES USED(NON-OPERATING | $(435,074)$ |  | $(435,074)$ | Distribution | - | $(435,074)$ | - | - | $(435,074)$ |
| 107 | PENSION EXPENSE | 144,379 |  | 144,379 | O\&M x/PP | 547 | 116,211 | 27,620 | - | 144,379 |
| 108 | PENSION BENEFIT EXPENSE | $(228,421)$ |  | $(228,421)$ | O\&M x/PP | (866) | $(183,856)$ | $(43,698)$ | - | $(228,421)$ |
| 109 | DEBT SERVICE - INTEREST | 28,056 | $(28,056)$ |  | NA | - | - | - | - | - |
| 110 | Total nonoperating expenses (revenues) | $(3,922,828)$ | $(28,056)$ | (3,950,884) |  | (319) | $(2,543,156)$ | $(16,078)$ | $(1,391,332)$ | (3,950,884) |
| 111 |  | Check (0) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 112 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 113 | TRANSFER/DIVIDEND (TO)GEN FUND | 664,950 |  | 664,950 | Revenue | - | - | - | 664,950 | 664,950 |
| 114 | TRANSFER FROM (TO) DEBT SER ST | 703,273 |  | 703,273 | Revenue | - | - | - | 703,273 | 703,273 |
| 115 | CUSTOMER ADJUSTMENTS | $(19,760)$ |  | $(19,760)$ | Revenue | - | - | - | $(19,760)$ | $(19,760)$ |
| 116 | UTILITY MARGIN | 2,411,314 |  | 2,411,314 | Revenue | - | - | - | 2,411,314 | 2,411,314 |
| 117 | Total other expenses | 3,759,778 | - | 3,759,778 | - | - | - | - | 3,759,778 | 3,759,778 |
| 118 |  | Check |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 119 | Revenue Requirement from Rates | 20,454,309 | $(28,056)$ | 20,426,253 |  | 15,088,279 | 1,923,897 | 1,045,631 | 2,368,446 | 20,426,253 |

Power Supply

| Row | Item | Test Year | Allocation | Demand | Energy | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | SALARIES AND WAGES (FULL-TIME) | 4,717 | Demand | 4,717 | - | 4,717 |
| 3 | SALARIES AND WAGES (PART-TIME) | 209 | Demand | 209 | - | 209 |
| 4 | EMPLOYEES BENEFITS | 2,462 | Demand | 2,462 | - | 2,462 |
| 5 | OVERTIME WAGES | 24 | Demand | 24 | - | 24 |
| 6 | TRAIN\&TRAV-MILEAGE REIMBURSE | 1 | Demand | 1 | - | 1 |
| 7 | TRAIN\&TRAV-CDL | - | Demand | - | - | - |
| 8 | TRAIN\&TRAV-MISC. TRAINING | - | Demand | - | - | - |
| 9 | TRAIN\&TRAV-HOTLINE SCHOOL IPSA | - | Demand | - | - | - |
| 10 | TRAIN\&TRAV-IPSA WORKSHOPS | - | Demand | - | - | - |
| 11 | TRAIN\&TRAV-LINEMAN TRAINING | - | Demand | - | - | - |
| 12 | TRAIN\&TRAV-UMPA STRATEGY | 304 | Demand | 304 | - | 304 |
| 13 | TRAIN\&TRAV-IPSA SAFETY MEETING | - | Demand | - | - | - |
| 14 | APPA NATIONAL CONFERENCE | 10 | Demand | 10 | - | 10 |
| 15 | SCADA TRAINING | - | Demand | - | - | - |
| 16 | BOOKS\&MEMBERS-CODE BOOKS | 1 | Demand | 1 | - | 1 |
| 17 | SUPP-METERS \& SUPPLES | - | Demand | - | - | - |
| 18 | BOOKS\&MEMBERS-INSTANT OSHA SUB | 7 | Demand | 7 | - | 7 |
| 19 | BOOKS\&MEMBERS-UMPA/APPA | 9,768 | Demand | 9,768 | - | 9,768 |
| 20 | BOOKS\&MEMBERS-UMPA/CREDA | 1,502 | Demand | 1,502 | - | 1,502 |
| 21 | BOOKS\&MEMBERS- SAM'S/COSTCO | 0 | Demand | 0 | - | 0 |
| 22 | SUPP-AWARDS/PRIZES | 0 | Demand | 0 | - | 0 |
| 23 | SUPP-BATTERIES | 1 | Demand | 1 | - | 1 |
| 24 | SUPP-CLEANING SUPPLIES | 2 | Demand | 2 | - | 2 |
| 25 | SUPP-FIRST AID | 2 | Demand | 2 | - | 2 |
| 26 | SUPP-FOOD/MEALS | 4 | Demand | 4 | - | 4 |
| 27 | SUPP-MISC. SUPPLIES | 17 | Demand | 17 | - | 17 |
| 28 | SUPP-OFFICE SUPPLIES | 14 | Demand | 14 | - | 14 |
| 29 | SUPP-PERSONAL PROTECTIVE EQUIP | 1 | Demand | 1 | - | 1 |
| 30 | SUPP-UNIFORMS | - | Demand | - | - | - |

Power Supply

| Row | Item | Test Year | Allocation | Demand | Energy | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 31 | SUPP- RADIOS | - | Demand | - | - | - |
| 32 | SUPP-DIVISION TOOLS \& SAFETY | - | Demand | - | - | - |
| 33 | SUPPLIES- SERVICE WORK O\&M | - | NA | - | - | - |
| 34 | SUPPLIES- SUBSTATION O\&M | - | NA | - | - | - |
| 35 | SUPPLIES- STREET LIGHTING O\&M | - | NA | - | - | - |
| 36 | SUPPLIES-METERING | - | NA | - | - | - |
| 37 | SUPP- SERVICE \& SUB CREW TOOLS | - | NA | - | - | - |
| 38 | SUPPLIES- SUBS. WEED CONT SUPP | - | NA | - | - | - |
| 39 | SUPPLIES- TRAFFIC SIGNALS | - | NA | - | - | - |
| 40 | SUP.- CHRISTMAS STRET LIGHTING | - | NA | - | - | - |
| 41 | SUPPLIES- UG DISTRIBUTION O\&M | - | NA | - | - | - |
| 42 | SUPPLIES- UG TRANSFORMER O\&M | - | NA | - | - | - |
| 43 | SUPPLIES- UG CREW TOOLS | - | NA | - | - | - |
| 44 | SUPPLIES- OVERHEAD DIST. O\&M | - | NA | - | - | - |
| 45 | SUPP- OVERHEADTRANSMISSION O\&M | - | NA | - | - | - |
| 46 | SUPP- OVERHD TRANSFORMER O\&M | - | NA | - | - | - |
| 47 | SUPP- OVERHD TOOLS \&SAFETY EQ. | - | NA | - | - | - |
| 48 | SUP-OVERHD HIGH VOLT LINE TOOL | - | NA | - | - | - |
| 49 | EQ. MAINT-CAR WASHES | 1 | Demand | 1 | - | 1 |
| 50 | EQ. MAINT-COPY MACHINE | 0 | Demand | 0 | - | 0 |
| 51 | EQ. MAINT-EQUIPMENT REPAIR | 11 | Demand | 11 | - | 11 |
| 52 | MOTOR POOL | 380 | Demand | 380 | - | 380 |
| 53 | GASOLINE AND OIL | 105 | Demand | 105 | - | 105 |
| 54 | TELEPHONE | 36 | Demand | 36 | - | 36 |
| 55 | UTILITIES | 90 | Demand | 90 | - | 90 |
| 56 | BLDG\&GROUND-HEAT/VENT/AC | 2 | Demand | 2 | - | 2 |
| 57 | BLDG\&GROUND-FIRE EXTINGUISHER | 1 | Demand | 1 | - | 1 |
| 58 | BLDG\&GROUND-MISC BLDG REPAIR | 18 | Demand | 18 | - | 18 |
| 59 | DATA-COMPUTER MAINTENANCE | 34 | Demand | 34 | - | 34 |
| 60 | DATA-CASELLE MAINTENANCE | 32 | Demand | 32 | - | 32 |

Power Supply

| Row | Item | Test Year | Allocation | Demand | Energy | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 61 | DATA-CITIZENLINK | 6 | Demand | 6 | - | 6 |
| 62 | DATA-INVENSYS SYSTEMS MAINT | 9 | Demand | 9 | - | 9 |
| 63 | DATA-ARC INFO SOFTWARE MAINT | 13 | Demand | 13 | - | 13 |
| 64 | DATA-AUTOCADD MAINT | - | NA | - | - | - |
| 65 | DATA-POWER FACTOR TESTER | - | NA | - | - | - |
| 66 | DATA-SCADA MAINT. | - | NA | - | - | - |
| 67 | DATA- GPS | - | NA | - | - | - |
| 68 | ACCOUNTING \& AUDITING | 9 | Demand | 9 | - | 9 |
| 69 | PROF\&TECH-BLUE STAKES | - | Demand | - | - | - |
| 70 | PROF\&TECH-CLEANING SERVICES | 2 | Demand | 2 | - | 2 |
| 71 | PROF\&TECH-SUBDIVISION INSPECT | - | NA | - | - | - |
| 72 | PROF\&TECH-TREE TRIMMING | - | NA | - | - | - |
| 73 | PROF\&TECH-TESTING\&INSPECTION | - | NA | - | - | - |
| 74 | PROF\&TECH-IMPACT FEE STUDY | - | NA | - | - | - |
| 75 | PROF\&TEC-ENGINEER-MISC STUDIES | - | NA | - | - | - |
| 76 | PROF\&T-SUBSTATION OIL TESTING | - | NA | - | - | - |
| 77 | OTHER SERV-UTILITY BILLING | - | NA | - | - | - |
| 78 | OTHER SERV-MAILING \& POSTAGE | - | NA | - | - | - |
| 79 | OTHER SERV-MERCHANT FEES | 256 | Demand | 256 | - | 256 |
| 80 | OTHER SERV-SUVPS O\&M COSTS | 179,691 | Demand | 179,691 | - | 179,691 |
| 81 | OT SERV- 80\% IF SUVPS PROJECTS | 494,748 | Demand | 494,748 | - | 494,748 |
| 82 | POWER PURCHASE | 14,393,114 | UMPA | 6,950,014 | 7,443,100 | 14,393,114 |
| 83 | INSURANCE | - | NA | - | - | - |
| 84 | SUNDRY | 0 | Demand | 0 | - | 0 |
| 85 | DEPRECIATION | - | NA | - | - | - |
| 86 | VEHICLE LEASE FROM MOTOR POOL | 732 | Demand | 732 | - | 732 |
| 87 | DEV. CONSTRUCTION MATERIAL | - | NA | - | - | - |
| 88 | BAD DEBTS | - | NA | - | - | - |
| 89 | LIABILITY CLAIMS-DEDUCTIBLE | 4 | Demand | 4 | - | 4 |
| 90 | INDIRECT SERVICE | - | NA | - | - | - |

Power Supply

| Row | Item | Test Year | Allocation | Demand | Energy | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 91 | PLANT ASSESSMENT - BROADBAND | 258 | Demand | 258 | - | 258 |
| 92 | Total Operating Expenses | 15,088,598 |  | 7,645,498 | 7,443,100 | 15,088,598 |
| 93 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 94 | Non-Operating Expenses (Revenues) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 95 | INTEREST EARNINGS | - | NA | - | - | - |
| 96 | ELEC. IMPACT FEES INTEREST | - | NA | - | - | - |
| 97 | SF ELEC REV 2000 BOND INT | - | NA | - | - | - |
| 98 | ELECTRIC CONSTRUCTION LABOR | - | NA | - | - | - |
| 99 | ELECTRIC CONSTRUCTION MATERIAL | - | NA | - | - | - |
| 100 | ELEC. CONST. EQUIP. RENTAL | - | NA | - | - | - |
| 101 | ELECTRIC METER FEE | - | NA | - | - | - |
| 102 | MISCELLANEOUS REVENUE | - | NA | - | - | - |
| 103 | POLE ATTACHMENTS REVENUE | - | NA | - | - | - |
| 104 | FORFEITED DISCOUNT | - | NA | - | - | - |
| 105 | CONTRIBUTIONS BY DEVELOPERS | - | NA | - | - | - |
| 106 | IMPACT FEES USED(NON-OPERATING | - | NA | - | - | - |
| 107 | PENSION EXPENSE | 547 | Demand | 547 | - | 547 |
| 108 | PENSION BENEFIT EXPENSE | (866) | Demand | (866) | - | (866) |
| 109 | DEBT SERVICE - INTEREST | - | NA | - | - | - |
| 110 | Total nonoperating expenses (revenues) | (319) |  | (319) |  | (319) |
| 111 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 112 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 113 | TRANSFER/DIVIDEND (TO)GEN FUND | - | NA | - | - | - |
| 114 | TRANSFER FROM (TO) DEBT SER ST | - | NA | - | - | - |
| 115 | CUSTOMER ADJUSTMENTS | - | NA | - | - | - |
| 116 | UTILITY MARGIN | - | NA | - | - | - |
| 116 | Total Transfers | - |  | - | - | - |

Revenue Requirement from Rates
$15,088,279 \quad 7,645,179 \quad 7,443,100 \quad 15,088,279$

## Distribution



| Row | Item | Test Year | Allocation | Demand | Customer | Direct | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | SALARIES AND WAGES (FULL-TIME) | 1,001,337 | O\&M | 685,895 | 315,442 |  | 1,001,337 |
| 3 | SALARIES AND WAGES (PART-TIME) | 44,265 | O\&M | 30,320 | 13,944 | - | 44,265 |
| 4 | EMPLOYEES BENEFITS | 522,627 | O\&M | 357,989 | 164,639 | - | 522,627 |
| 5 | OVERTIME WAGES | 5,094 | O\&M | 3,489 | 1,605 | - | 5,094 |
| 6 | TRAIN\&TRAV-MILEAGE REIMBURSE | 170 | O\&M | 117 | 54 | - | 170 |
| 7 | TRAIN\&TRAV-CDL | 210 | O\&M | 144 | 66 | - | 210 |
| 8 | TRAIN\&TRAV-MISC. TRAINING | 2,800 | O\&M | 1,918 | 882 | - | 2,800 |
| 9 | TRAIN\&TRAV-HOTLINE SCHOOL IPSA | 5,869 | O\&M | 4,020 | 1,849 | - | 5,869 |
| 10 | TRAIN\&TRAV-IPSA WORKSHOPS | 297 | O\&M | 203 | 94 | - | 297 |
| 11 | TRAIN\&TRAV-LINEMAN TRAINING | 5,620 | O\&M | 3,850 | 1,771 | - | 5,620 |
| 12 | TRAIN\&TRAV-UMPA STRATEGY | - | NA | - | - | - | - |
| 13 | TRAIN\&TRAV-IPSA SAFETY MEETING | 1,786 | O\&M | 1,223 | 563 | - | 1,786 |
| 14 | APPA NATIONAL CONFERENCE | 2,198 | O\&M | 1,506 | 692 | - | 2,198 |
| 15 | SCADA TRAINING | 5,000 | O\&M | 3,425 | 1,575 | - | 5,000 |
| 16 | BOOKS\&MEMBERS-CODE BOOKS | 123 | O\&M | 84 | 39 | - | 123 |
| 17 | SUPP-METERS \& SUPPLES | 46 | Customer | - | 46 | - | 46 |
| 18 | BOOKS\&MEMBERS-INSTANT OSHA SUB | 1,481 | O\&M | 1,014 | 467 | - | 1,481 |
| 19 | BOOKS\&MEMBERS-UMPA/APPA | - | NA | - | - | - | - |
| 20 | BOOKS\&MEMBERS-UMPA/CREDA | - | NA | - | - | - | - |
| 21 | BOOKS\&MEMBERS- SAM'S/COSTCO | 101 | O\&M | 69 | 32 | - | 101 |
| 22 | SUPP-AWARDS/PRIZES | 10 | O\&M | 7 | 3 | - | 10 |
| 23 | SUPP-BATTERIES | 248 | O\&M | 170 | 78 | - | 248 |
| 24 | SUPP-CLEANING SUPPLIES | 394 | O\&M | 270 | 124 | - | 394 |
| 25 | SUPP-FIRST AID | 380 | O\&M | 260 | 120 | - | 380 |
| 26 | SUPP-FOOD/MEALS | 955 | O\&M | 654 | 301 | - | 955 |
| 27 | SUPP-MISC. SUPPLIES | 3,571 | O\&M | 2,446 | 1,125 | - | 3,571 |
| 28 | SUPP-OFFICE SUPPLIES | 3,059 | O\&M | 2,095 | 964 | - | 3,059 |
| 29 | SUPP-PERSONAL PROTECTIVE EQUIP | 285 | O\&M | 195 | 90 | - | 285 |
| 30 | SUPP-UNIFORMS | 15,708 | O\&M | 10,759 | 4,948 | - | 15,708 |

## Distribution



| Row | Item | Test Year | Allocation | Demand | Customer | Direct | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 31 | SUPP- RADIOS | 500 | O\&M | 342 | 158 | - | 500 |
| 32 | SUPP-DIVISION TOOLS \& SAFETY | 21,422 | O\&M | 14,674 | 6,748 | - | 21,422 |
| 33 | SUPPLIES- SERVICE WORK O\&M | 3,457 | Customer | - | 3,457 | - | 3,457 |
| 34 | SUPPLIES- SUBSTATION O\&M | 14,446 | Demand | 14,446 | - | - | 14,446 |
| 35 | SUPPLIES- STREET LIGHTING O\&M | 5,686 | Direct | - | - | 5,686 | 5,686 |
| 36 | SUPPLIES-METERING | 40,550 | Customer | - | 40,550 | - | 40,550 |
| 37 | SUPP- SERVICE \& SUB CREW TOOLS | 2,875 | Demand | 2,875 | - | - | 2,875 |
| 38 | SUPPLIES- SUBS. WEED CONT SUPP | 5,002 | Demand | 5,002 | - | - | 5,002 |
| 39 | SUPPLIES- TRAFFIC SIGNALS | 127 | O\&M | 87 | 40 | - | 127 |
| 40 | SUP.- CHRISTMAS STRET LIGHTING | 165 | O\&M | 113 | 52 | - | 165 |
| 41 | SUPPLIES- UG DISTRIBUTION O\&M | 21,557 | Demand | 21,557 | - | - | 21,557 |
| 42 | SUPPLIES- UG TRANSFORMER O\&M | 2,544 | Customer | - | 2,544 | - | 2,544 |
| 43 | SUPPLIES- UG CREW TOOLS | 2,894 | Demand | 2,894 | - | - | 2,894 |
| 44 | SUPPLIES- OVERHEAD DIST. O\&M | 20,766 | Demand | 20,766 | - | - | 20,766 |
| 45 | SUPP- OVERHEADTRANSMISSION O\&M | 983 | Demand | 983 | - | - | 983 |
| 46 | SUPP- OVERHD TRANSFORMER O\&M | - | NA | - | - | - | - |
| 47 | SUPP- OVERHD TOOLS \&SAFETY EQ. | 1,715 | Demand | 1,715 | - | - | 1,715 |
| 48 | SUP-OVERHD HIGH VOLT LINE TOOL | 4,241 | Demand | 4,241 | - | - | 4,241 |
| 49 | EQ. MAINT-CAR WASHES | 118 | O\&M | 81 | 37 | - | 118 |
| 50 | EQ. MAINT-COPY MACHINE | 57 | O\&M | 39 | 18 | - | 57 |
| 51 | EQ. MAINT-EQUIPMENT REPAIR | 2,244 | O\&M | 1,537 | 707 | - | 2,244 |
| 52 | MOTOR POOL | 80,660 | O\&M | 55,250 | 25,409 | - | 80,660 |
| 53 | GASOLINE AND OIL | 22,276 | O\&M | 15,259 | 7,018 | - | 22,276 |
| 54 | TELEPHONE | 7,552 | O\&M | 5,173 | 2,379 | - | 7,552 |
| 55 | UTILITIES | 19,105 | O\&M | 13,087 | 6,018 | - | 19,105 |
| 56 | BLDG\&GROUND-HEAT/VENT/AC | 371 | O\&M | 254 | 117 | - | 371 |
| 57 | BLDG\&GROUND-FIRE EXTINGUISHER | 264 | O\&M | 181 | 83 | - | 264 |
| 58 | BLDG\&GROUND-MISC BLDG REPAIR | 3,878 | O\&M | 2,656 | 1,222 | - | 3,878 |
| 59 | DATA-COMPUTER MAINTENANCE | 7,117 | O\&M | 4,875 | 2,242 | - | 7,117 |
| 60 | DATA-CASELLE MAINTENANCE | 6,838 | O\&M | 4,684 | 2,154 | - | 6,838 |

## Distribution

ro $\frac{. W T A H}{\overline{U R S E}} V^{4}$

| Row | Item | Test Year | Allocation | Demand | Customer | Direct | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 61 | DATA-CITIZENLINK | 1,318 | O\&M | 903 | 415 | - | 1,318 |
| 62 | DATA-INVENSYS SYSTEMS MAINT | 1,828 | O\&M | 1,252 | 576 | - | 1,828 |
| 63 | DATA-ARC INFO SOFTWARE MAINT | 2,654 | O\&M | 1,818 | 836 | - | 2,654 |
| 64 | DATA-AUTOCADD MAINT | 4,800 | O\&M | 3,288 | 1,512 | - | 4,800 |
| 65 | DATA-POWER FACTOR TESTER | 717 | O\&M | 491 | 226 | - | 717 |
| 66 | DATA-SCADA MAINT. | 18,340 | O\&M | 12,563 | 5,777 | - | 18,340 |
| 67 | DATA- GPS | 12,600 | O\&M | 8,631 | 3,969 | - | 12,600 |
| 68 | ACCOUNTING \& AUDITING | 2,012 | O\&M | 1,378 | 634 | - | 2,012 |
| 69 | PROF\&TECH-BLUE STAKES | 868 | O\&M | 595 | 273 | - | 868 |
| 70 | PROF\&TECH-CLEANING SERVICES | 383 | O\&M | 262 | 121 | - | 383 |
| 71 | PROF\&TECH-SUBDIVISION INSPECT | 33,691 | O\&M | 23,077 | 10,613 | - | 33,691 |
| 72 | PROF\&TECH-TREE TRIMMING | 23,351 | Demand | 23,351 | - | - | 23,351 |
| 73 | PROF\&TECH-TESTING\&INSPECTION | 790 | O\&M | 541 | 249 | - | 790 |
| 74 | PROF\&TECH-IMPACT FEE STUDY | 2,789 | O\&M | 1,911 | 879 | - | 2,789 |
| 75 | PROF\&TEC-ENGINEER-MISC STUDIES | 10,440 | O\&M | 7,151 | 3,289 | - | 10,440 |
| 76 | PROF\&T-SUBSTATION OIL TESTING | 3,489 | Demand | 3,489 | - | - | 3,489 |
| 77 | OTHER SERV-UTILITY BILLING | - | NA | - | - | - | - |
| 78 | OTHER SERV-MAILING \& POSTAGE | - | NA | - | - | - | - |
| 79 | OTHER SERV-MERCHANT FEES | 54,291 | O\&M | 37,188 | 17,103 | - | 54,291 |
| 80 | OTHER SERV-SUVPS O\&M COSTS | - | NA | - | - | - | - |
| 81 | OT SERV- 80\% IF SUVPS PROJECTS | - | O\&M | - | - | - | - |
| 82 | POWER PURCHASE | - | NA | - | - | - | - |
| 83 | INSURANCE | 26,889 | O\&M | 18,418 | 8,470 | - | 26,889 |
| 84 | SUNDRY | 14 | O\&M | 10 | 5 | - | 14 |
| 85 | DEPRECIATION | 927,147 | O\&M | 635,076 | 292,071 | - | 927,147 |
| 86 | VEHICLE LEASE FROM MOTOR POOL | 155,390 | O\&M | 106,439 | 48,951 | - | 155,390 |
| 87 | DEV. CONSTRUCTION MATERIAL | 1,204,571 | O\&M | 825,106 | 379,465 | - | 1,204,571 |
| 88 | BAD DEBTS | - | NA | - | - | - | - |
| 89 | LIABILITY CLAIMS-DEDUCTIBLE | 791 | O\&M | 542 | 249 | - | 791 |
| 90 | INDIRECT SERVICE | - | NA | - | - | - | - |

Exhibit 3-C


| Row | Item | Test Year | Allocation | Demand | Customer | Direct | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 91 | PLANT ASSESSMENT - BROADBAND | 54,848 | O\&M | 37,569 | 17,278 | - | 54,848 |
|  | Total Operating Expenses | 4,467,053 |  | 3,055,943 | 1,405,425 | 5,686 | 4,467,053 |


| Row | Item | Test Year | Allocation | Customer | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | SALARIES AND WAGES (FULL-TIME) | 237,993 | Customer | 237,993 | 237,993 |
| 3 | SALARIES AND WAGES (PART-TIME) | 10,521 | Customer | 10,521 | 10,521 |
| 4 | EMPLOYEES BENEFITS | 124,216 | Customer | 124,216 | 124,216 |
| 5 | OVERTIME WAGES | 1,211 | Customer | 1,211 | 1,211 |
| 6 | TRAIN\&TRAV-MILEAGE REIMBURSE | 40 | Customer | 40 | 40 |
| 7 | TRAIN\&TRAV-CDL | - | Customer | - | - |
| 8 | TRAIN\&TRAV-MISC. TRAINING | - | Customer | - | - |
| 9 | TRAIN\&TRAV-HOTLINE SCHOOL IPSA | - | Customer | - | - |
| 10 | TRAIN\&TRAV-IPSA WORKSHOPS | - | Customer | - | - |
| 11 | TRAIN\&TRAV-LINEMAN TRAINING | - | NA | - | - |
| 12 | TRAIN\&TRAV-UMPA STRATEGY | - | NA | - | - |
| 13 | TRAIN\&TRAV-IPSA SAFETY MEETING | - | Customer | - | - |
| 14 | APPA NATIONAL CONFERENCE | 522 | Customer | 522 | 522 |
| 15 | SCADA TRAINING | - | NA | - | - |
| 16 | BOOKS\&MEMBERS-CODE BOOKS | 29 | Customer | 29 | 29 |
| 17 | SUPP-METERS \& SUPPLES | - | Customer | - | - |
| 18 | BOOKS\&MEMBERS-INSTANT OSHA SUB | 352 | Customer | 352 | 352 |
| 19 | BOOKS\&MEMBERS-UMPA/APPA | - | NA | - | - |
| 20 | BOOKS\&MEMBERS-UMPA/CREDA | - | NA | - | - |
| 21 | BOOKS\&MEMBERS- SAM'S/COSTCO | 24 | Customer | 24 | 24 |
| 22 | SUPP-AWARDS/PRIZES | 2 | Customer | 2 | 2 |
| 23 | SUPP-BATTERIES | 59 | Customer | 59 | 59 |
| 24 | SUPP-CLEANING SUPPLIES | 94 | Customer | 94 | 94 |
| 25 | SUPP-FIRST AID | 90 | Customer | 90 | 90 |
| 26 | SUPP-FOOD/MEALS | 227 | Customer | 227 | 227 |
| 27 | SUPP-MISC. SUPPLIES | 849 | Customer | 849 | 849 |
| 28 | SUPP-OFFICE SUPPLIES | 727 | Customer | 727 | 727 |
| 29 | SUPP-PERSONAL PROTECTIVE EQUIP | 68 | Customer | 68 | 68 |
| 30 | SUPP-UNIFORMS | - | Customer | - | - |

## Customer

$r_{0} \frac{\text { UTAH. }}{\text { URSE }}$

| Row | Item | Test Year | Allocation | Customer | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 31 | SUPP-RADIOS | - | Customer | - | - |
| 32 | SUPP-DIVISION TOOLS \& SAFETY | - | Customer | - | - |
| 33 | SUPPLIES- SERVICE WORK O\&M | - | NA | - | - |
| 34 | SUPPLIES- SUBSTATION O\&M | - | NA | - | - |
| 35 | SUPPLIES- STREET LIGHTING O\&M | - | NA | - | - |
| 36 | SUPPLIES-METERING | - | NA | - | - |
| 37 | SUPP- SERVICE \& SUB CREW TOOLS | - | NA | - | - |
| 38 | SUPPLIES- SUBS. WEED CONT SUPP | - | NA | - | - |
| 39 | SUPPLIES- TRAFFIC SIGNALS | - | NA | - | - |
| 40 | SUP.- CHRISTMAS STRET LIGHTING | - | NA | - | - |
| 41 | SUPPLIES- UG DISTRIBUTION O\&M | - | NA | - | - |
| 42 | SUPPLIES- UG TRANSFORMER O\&M | - | NA | - | - |
| 43 | SUPPLIES- UG CREW TOOLS | - | NA | - | - |
| 44 | SUPPLIES- OVERHEAD DIST. O\&M | - | NA | - | - |
| 45 | SUPP- OVERHEADTRANSMISSION O\&M | - | NA | - | - |
| 46 | SUPP- OVERHD TRANSFORMER O\&M | - | NA | - | - |
| 47 | SUPP- OVERHD TOOLS \&SAFETY EQ. | - | NA | - | - |
| 48 | SUP-OVERHD HIGH VOLT LINE TOOL | - | NA | - | - |
| 49 | EQ. MAINT-CAR WASHES | 28 | Customer | 28 | 28 |
| 50 | EQ. MAINT-COPY MACHINE | 14 | Customer | 14 | 14 |
| 51 | EQ. MAINT-EQUIPMENT REPAIR | 533 | Customer | 533 | 533 |
| 52 | MOTOR POOL | 19,171 | Customer | 19,171 | 19,171 |
| 53 | GASOLINE AND OIL | 5,295 | Customer | 5,295 | 5,295 |
| 54 | TELEPHONE | 1,795 | Customer | 1,795 | 1,795 |
| 55 | UTILITIES | 4,541 | Customer | 4,541 | 4,541 |
| 56 | BLDG\&GROUND-HEAT/VENT/AC | 88 | Customer | 88 | 88 |
| 57 | BLDG\&GROUND-FIRE EXTINGUISHER | 63 | Customer | 63 | 63 |
| 58 | BLDG\&GROUND-MISC BLDG REPAIR | 922 | Customer | 922 | 922 |
| 59 | DATA-COMPUTER MAINTENANCE | 1,692 | Customer | 1,692 | 1,692 |
| 60 | DATA-CASELLE MAINTENANCE | 1,625 | Customer | 1,625 | 1,625 |


| Row | Item | Test Year | Allocation | Customer | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 61 | DATA-CITIZENLINK | 313 | Customer | 313 | 313 |
| 62 | DATA-INVENSYS SYSTEMS MAINT | 434 | Customer | 434 | 434 |
| 63 | DATA-ARC INFO SOFTWARE MAINT | 631 | Customer | 631 | 631 |
| 64 | DATA-AUTOCADD MAINT | - | NA | - | - |
| 65 | DATA-POWER FACTOR TESTER | - | NA | - | - |
| 66 | DATA-SCADA MAINT. | - | NA | - | - |
| 67 | DATA- GPS | - | NA | - | - |
| 68 | ACCOUNTING \& AUDITING | 478 | Customer | 478 | 478 |
| 69 | PROF\&TECH-BLUE STAKES | - | Customer | - | - |
| 70 | PROF\&TECH-CLEANING SERVICES | 91 | Customer | 91 | 91 |
| 71 | PROF\&TECH-SUBDIVISION INSPECT | - | NA | - | - |
| 72 | PROF\&TECH-TREE TRIMMING | - | NA | - | - |
| 73 | PROF\&TECH-TESTING\&INSPECTION | - | NA | - | - |
| 74 | PROF\&TECH-IMPACT FEE STUDY | - | NA | - | - |
| 75 | PROF\&TEC-ENGINEER-MISC STUDIES | - | NA | - | - |
| 76 | PROF\&T-SUBSTATION OIL TESTING | - | NA | - | - |
| 77 | OTHER SERV-UTILITY BILLING | 12,005 | Customer | 12,005 | 12,005 |
| 78 | OTHER SERV-MAILING \& POSTAGE | 1,043 | Customer | 1,043 | 1,043 |
| 79 | OTHER SERV-MERCHANT FEES | 12,904 | Customer | 12,904 | 12,904 |
| 80 | OTHER SERV-SUVPS O\&M COSTS | - | Customer | - | - |
| 81 | OT SERV- 80\% IF SUVPS PROJECTS | - | Customer | - | - |
| 82 | POWER PURCHASE | - | NA | - | - |
| 83 | INSURANCE | - | NA | - | - |
| 84 | SUNDRY | 3 | Customer | 3 | 3 |
| 85 | DEPRECIATION | - | NA | - | - |
| 86 | VEHICLE LEASE FROM MOTOR POOL | 36,932 | Customer | 36,932 | 36,932 |
| 87 | DEV. CONSTRUCTION MATERIAL | - | NA | - | - |
| 88 | BAD DEBTS | 61,690 | Customer | 61,690 | 61,690 |
| 89 | LIABILITY CLAIMS-DEDUCTIBLE | 188 | Customer | 188 | 188 |
| 90 | INDIRECT SERVICE | 509,171 | Customer | 509,171 | 509,171 |



|  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Cost of Service



| Row | Item |  | Test Year | Allocation | Residential | General Service |  | Lighting | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | General Service | Large Power Service |  |  |
| 1 PRODUCTION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | Production Demand Expense |  | 7,645,179 | 12CP | 3,190,555 | 3,013,590 | 1,441,035 | - | 7,645,179 |
| 3 | Production Energy Expense |  | 7,443,100 | NEFL | 2,895,102 | 2,800,349 | 1,746,436 | 1,213 | 7,443,100 |
| 4 | Total Production |  | 15,088,279 |  | 6,085,657 | 5,813,939 | 3,187,470 | 1,213 | 15,088,279 |
| 5 |  | Check | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | DISTRIBUTION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | Distribution Demand Expense |  | 1,311,943 | 1NCP | 648,473 | 500,039 | 163,250 | 181 | 1,311,943 |
| 8 | Distribution Customer Expense |  | 606,268 | Cust. Distribution | 402,617 | 139,760 | 63,858 | 34 | 606,268 |
| 9 | Distribution Direct Lighting |  | 5,686 | Lighting | - | - | - | 5,686 | 5,686 |
| 10 | Total Distribution |  | 1,923,897 |  | 1,051,089 | 639,799 | 227,108 | 5,901 | 1,923,897 |
| 11 |  | Check | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 CUSTOMER |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | Customer Service Expense |  | 1,045,631 | Cust. Service | 773,298 | 268,435 | 3,833 | 65 | 1,045,631 |
| 14 | Total Customer |  | 1,045,631 |  | 773,298 | 268,435 | 3,833 | 65 | 1,045,631 |
| 15 |  | Check | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | REVENUE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | Revenue Expense |  | 2,368,446 | RevReq | 1,037,474 | 881,674 | 448,356 | 942 | 2,368,446 |
| 19 | Total Revenue |  | 2,368,446 |  | 1,037,474 | 881,674 | 448,356 | 942 | 2,368,446 |
| 20 |  | Check | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 | Total Cost of Service |  | 20,426,253 |  | 8,947,519 | 7,603,847 | 3,866,767 | 8,121 | 20,426,253 |
| 23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 | Percent of Cost of Service |  | 100\% |  | 43.8\% | 37.2\% | 18.9\% | 0.04\% | 100\% |
| 25 | Percent of Revenue |  | 100\% |  | 43.2\% | 39.7\% | 17.1\% | 0.03\% | 100\% |
| 26 | Difference |  | 0\% |  | 1.5\% | -6.2\% | 10.7\% | 54.9\% | 0\% |
| 27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28 Classified Cost of Service |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29 | Customer Cost |  | 1,874,993 |  | 1,330,147 | 461,734 | 76,569 | 6,543 | 1,874,993 |
| 30 | Demand Cost |  | 10,131,930 |  | 4,342,551 | 3,974,473 | 1,814,702 | 205 | 10,131,930 |
| 31 | Energy Cost |  | 8,419,330 |  | 3,274,821 | 3,167,640 | 1,975,497 | 1,373 | 8,419,330 |
| 32 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 33 Classified Unit Cost of Service |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 34 | Customer Cost |  | 13.71 |  | 10.87 | 32.60 | 630.97 |  | 13.71 |
| 35 | Demand Cost |  | 9.45 |  | 6.51 | 13.40 | 16.74 | 1.83 | 9.45 |
| 36 | Energy Cost |  | 0.03467 |  | 0.03479 | 0.03479 | 0.03427 | 0.03479 | 0.03467 |

## Section 4 Proposed Rates

Changes to rates are generally based on the overall need for revenues and results of the cost-of-service analyses. The projected operating results at existing rates as presented in Section 2 of this report outline the overall revenue needs of the electric utility. Section 3 summarizes the cost-of-service results. These factors have been considered in developing the proposed rates summarized in this section of the report.

## Proposed Rates

## Revenue Needs

In Section 2, it shows that Spanish Fork's projected annual change in net position remains positive through the Study Period. It decreases from $16.5 \%$ of revenues in 2016 to $7.8 \%$ of revenues in 2020. Additionally, Spanish Fork's projected cash reserves at current rates are expected to increase from $\$ 22.4$ million to $\$ 31.2$ million over the Study Period. Based on the projected results, no overall revenue increase through rates is recommended at this time.

## Rate Design Adjustments

The cost of service analysis summarized in Section 3 shows that the General Service class is providing a subsidy to the Large Power Service class. The cost of service results indicate that the Residential class is paying very close to its cost of service. As such, we are providing a rate option that increases Large Power Service rates and decreases General Service rates. It results in an increase in Large Power revenues of approximately $3 \%$ and a $1.4 \%$ decrease in General Service revenues. The rate option presented also begins addressing the rate design disparity between these two classes. The Large Power Service rate has a much higher demand charge with a lower energy charge. The General Service rates have lower demand charges with higher energy

## Section 4

charges. This design philosophy difference can cause customers to see a significant change in their bill simply by transitioning from one rate class to another. One goal of rates is to have relatively smooth transitions between classes. The cost to serve a customer does not change dramatically simply because it exceeds or drops below the 400 kW threshold between General Service and Large Power classes. The rates we have developed do not result in any change in total revenues for Spanish Fork. It is important to note that the proposed rate changes to the General Service and Large Power rates go together, either both should be done or neither should be done.

As Spanish Fork moves forward, rate changes to the General Service and Large Power rates should strive to keep the balance of demand and energy charges between these classes similar. Significant differences between rate classes such as the General Service and Large Power rate can result in significant rate shock to customers that naturally migrate from one rate to another. Once the rates are similar, cost of service results indicate that the demand rates of both classes should be raised as need for additional revenue arises.

We have also developed an alternative rate for the Residential class which increases the monthly customer charge and decreases the energy charge. The current customer charge of $\$ 3.50$ is well below the cost based customer charge of $\$ 10.87$ per residential customer per month as shown in Section 3. The proposed Residential rate does not change the overall revenues of the Residential class. The proposed Residential rate is not dependent on the proposed General Service or Large Power rates. The proposed rates discussed above are shown in Exhibit 4-A.

## Projected Operating Results - Proposed Rates

The rates recommended above do not result in a change in overall projected revenues for Spanish Fork, the projected operating results and impact on reserves presented in Section 2 of this report would not change as a result of implementation of either option 1 or option 2 summarized above.

## Net Metering

Based on the analyses contained in this study, we have identified several options for Spanish Fork's consideration relative to rate provisions applicable to net metering of small distributed generation facilities at customer locations, most notably solar power installations. Net metering is a billing mechanism where customers with distributed generation (like rooftop solar) are credited for electricity they deliver back to the distribution system. For example, if a residential customer has a solar system on the home's rooftop, it may generate more electricity than the home uses during daylight hours. If the home is net-metered, the utility pays the customer for the excess generation. The rate paid for the excess generation varies by state and utility.

The State of Utah net metering policy requires Rocky Mountain Power and all rural electric cooperatives to offer a net metering tariff to their customers. However, municipally owned utilities like Spanish Fork are not currently required to offer net metering, but they may if they desire. Spanish Fork's current net metering policy is similar to other utility net metering tariffs including Rocky Mountain Power. Under the current Spanish Fork net metering rate, a customer receives full retail price credit for energy it delivers to the utility during periods when the on-site generator is producing more energy than the customer requires. The customer can apply that payment/credit to its usage during times that the on-site generator is not producing energy.

Within the electric industry, there are numerous discussions about the economic and operational 'fairness' of net metering programs. Distributed generation advocates argue that net metering programs help promote this beneficial program. Others argue that net metering customers do not contribute sufficiently to the fixed cost of the electric grid, resulting in subsidies from non-net metering customers. There are several potential rate approaches addressing the need for net metering customers to make a contribution to the fixed costs of the grid, even if their net use of energy during a billing period may be zero. Based on the results of the cost-of-service study, we have examined the following rate scenarios and have designed cost based rates for your consideration.

## Section 4

- Current net metering policy
- Higher monthly customer charge
- Retail demand charge rate structure
- Separate charge based on solar generating capacity
- Minimum bill provision
- Feed-in-tariff

These options are discussed below.

## Current Net Metering Policy

Spanish Fork could opt to maintain its current net metering policy. It is similar to standard net metering policies in place at numerous utilities nationwide. It also reflects current Utah requirements on Rocky Mountain Power and cooperatives. The current policy does not address cost based concerns about potential subsidies from regular customers to net metering customers.

## Higher monthly customer charge

Credits that net metering customers receive for power generated do not generally apply to the fixed monthly customer charge paid by customers. The fixed charge does not vary based on energy used by a customer. Customer charges are meant to recover fixed charges incurred by the utility simply by having a customer connected to the system. These can include meter reading, billing and customer services. They may also include fixed system costs such as portions of the distribution system, service transformers, service lines and meter installations. A higher customer charge can be designed to collect some or all of a customers allocated fixed costs of the local system. This rate design alternative could be applied to all customers or to just net metering customers.

## Retail demand charge rate structure

Solar net metering customers purchase less net energy from the utility while still placing demands on the system during times when the solar units are not generating
(evenings/nights). This results in net metering customers having a much lower effective load factor for their service. Under a customer charge/energy charge rate structure, it is not possible to adjust rates to reflect wide disparities in load factor. Moving residential net metering customers to a demand and energy rate structure as is commonly done for non-residential customers can allow for contribution to fixed system charges by these customers despite their low energy use.

## Separate charge based on solar generating capacity

Net metering customers access the distribution system to deliver energy to the utility during over generation periods and to receive energy during low generation periods. Based on the size of the solar generation installation, a separate distribution access fee can be charged to a customer. This charge is levied on a $\$ / \mathrm{kW}$ basis to reflect the fixed expense of the distribution system. The charge can either be assessed on the total generation size or the generation size less the average demand of a typical residential customer. For Spanish Fork, the average residential customer is estimated to have an average monthly peak demand of 5.5 kW . As an example, a solar customer with a 7.5 kW system, they could be charged for the full 7.5 kW of demand or for $2 \mathrm{~kW}(7.5 \mathrm{~kW}$ generator capacity less the 5.5 kW average customer demand).

## Minimum bill provision

Implementation of a simple minimum bill provision can ensure that net metering customers, as well as all customers, make a minimum contribution to system fixed costs.

## Feed-in-tariff

Feed-in-tariffs are designed to pay for output of distributed generation at a 'value of solar' rate. There is often discussion regarding what the value of solar should include relative to generation, transmission, distribution, environmental externalities and other costs. For our analysis, we have assumed a value equal to the avoided average generation cost for Spanish Fork. Under this type of scenario, the output that is

## Section 4

exported to the system by the generator is not paid the full retail rate in a net metering arrangement. The customer receives a credit for the excess generation based on the feed in tariff rate.

A proposed rate is shown in the following table for each of the rate arrangements discussed above. These are cost based rates based on the FY 2015 test year included in the rate study. The footnotes contain a brief explanation of the basis for the calculations.

## Net Metering Alternatives

## FY 2015 Test Year

| Item | Rate |
| :---: | :---: |
| Current net metering policy ${ }^{(1)}$ | Current rate |
| Higher monthly customer | $\$ 23.39 / \mathrm{mo}$. |
| charge $^{(2)}$ | $\$ 0.06465 / \mathrm{kWh}$ |
| Retail demand charge ${ }^{(3)}$ | $\$ 10.87 / \mathrm{mo}$ cust |
| Separate charge based on solar | $\$ 0.51 / \mathrm{kW}-\mathrm{mo}$ demand |
| capacity $^{(4)}$ | $\$ 1.57 / \mathrm{kW}-\mathrm{mo}$ |
| Minimum bill provision |  |${ }^{(5)} \quad \$ 23.39 / \mathrm{mo}$.

(1) No change in current rate policy
(2) Customer unit cost plus distribution fixed cost for average customer plus production costs in energy.
(3) Cost based three-part rate for all services.
(4) Distribution fixed cost per kW.
(5) Equals higher customer charge computation.
(6) Allocated residential production cost.

| Rate Schedule |  | Rates |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Current | Proposed |
| Residential |  |  |  |
| Customer Charge |  | \$3.50 | \$6.00 |
| Energy Charge |  | \$0.08984 | \$0.08649 |
| General Service (GS) |  |  |  |
| Customer Charge |  | \$6.50 | \$15.00 |
| Energy Charge |  |  |  |
| First 1000 kWh |  | \$0.12650 | \$0.12650 |
| Next 4000 kWh |  | \$0.08479 | \$0.07237 |
| all additional kWh |  | \$0.05647 | \$0.04820 |
| Power Charge per kW |  |  |  |
| First 5 kW |  | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| all additional kW |  | \$6.00 | \$8.00 |
| General Service (GS2) |  |  |  |
| Customer Charge |  | \$30.00 | \$30.00 |
| Energy Charge |  |  |  |
| First 1000 kWh |  | \$0.12959 | \$0.12959 |
| Next 4000 kWh |  | \$0.08961 | \$0.08299 |
| all additional kWh |  | \$0.08629 | \$0.07991 |
| Power Charge per kW |  |  |  |
| First 5 kW |  | \$0.00 |  |
| all additional kW |  | \$6.75 | \$8.75 |
| Large Power |  |  |  |
| Customer Charge |  | \$50.00 | \$50.00 |
| Energy Charge |  | \$0.04050 | \$0.04227 |
| Capacity Charge per kW |  | \$10.00 | \$10.00 |

